

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/882,840	BAUM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jason M. Perilla	2634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jason M. Perilla.

(2) Kenneth A. Haas.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 May 2005

**Time:** 11AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-3, 5-26, and 28-48

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)